



Duane Morris attorneys have extensive trial experience as lead counsel in litigation before state and federal courts, as well as unique trial experience within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), to help clients take advantage of, and respond to, *inter partes* review (IPR).

We advise clients on the merits and desirability of instituting parallel proceedings before the USPTO as well as with regard to defending their patents during these proceedings. Understanding the importance of this area, the firm has established a group focused on the specifics of IPR practice, comprised of attorneys with extensive procedural, technical and litigation experience, as well as oral advocacy skills, required to approach the specialized area with the best possible team. From early strategizing to working with experts, through declarations, depositions and cross examination, to presenting an invalidity case at a hearing in front of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), Duane Morris offers clients experience that is invaluable.

EXAMPLES OF INDUSTRIES SERVED

- ▶ CHEMICAL
- ▶ CONSUMER ELECTRONICS AND PRODUCTS
- ▶ EDUCATION
- ▶ ENTERTAINMENT, GAMING AND SPORTS
- ▶ FASHION
- ▶ FINANCIAL SERVICES
- ▶ FOOD AND HOSPITALITY
- ▶ LIFE SCIENCES, BIOMEDICAL, MEDICAL DEVICES AND PHARMACEUTICAL
- ▶ MECHANICAL
- ▶ PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
- ▶ TECHNOLOGY
- ▶ TELECOMMUNICATIONS


WHY IPR?

Introduced by the America Invents Act (AIA) in 2012, IPR allows a petitioner to challenge the propriety of a patent claim after it has been granted by the USPTO. This increasingly important strategy—a majority of filings at the USPTO are currently in parallel litigation—is viewed as a less costly and more expeditious method than filing a litigation proceeding in federal district court. Filing for IPR may also provide leverage in settlement negotiations.

ACCOLADES

PTAB/IPR SUCCESS

Source: Lex Machina, July 2023



U.S. NEWS-BEST LAWYERS recognizes Duane Morris with Top-Tier National Rankings in PATENT LAW AND LITIGATION and IP LITIGATION.

Ranked the **TOP FIRM** representing petitioners before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), according to Unified Patents' institutional success index (2018-2023).




Ranked a **TOP 10 FIRM** (North America - North East) by Patent Lawyer magazine in 2022 and 2023.



Legal 500 ranked Duane Morris as a leading law firm in Intellectual Property: Patents: Litigation.



OFFICE LOCATIONS AND REACH



UNITED STATES

Atlanta
 Austin
 Baltimore
 Boca Raton
 Boston
 Cherry Hill
 Chicago
 Dallas
 Fort Worth
 Houston
 Lake Tahoe
 Las Vegas
 Los Angeles
 Miami
 New York
 Newark
 Philadelphia
 Pittsburgh
 San Diego
 San Francisco
 Silicon Valley
 Washington, D.C.
 Wilmington


INTERNATIONAL

Hanoi
 Ho Chi Minh City
 London
 Myanmar
 Shanghai
 Singapore

- > Also satellite offices, including Bangor and Portland, Maine; and Seattle, Washington
- > Alliances in Mexico
- > Leadership position with international network of independent law firms

SELECTED REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS

- ▶ ARRIS
- ▶ AT&T
- ▶ BELKIN
- ▶ CARL ZEISS
- ▶ CISCO
- ▶ COMCAST
- ▶ COX COMMUNICATIONS
- ▶ EPSILON
- ▶ FUJITSU
- ▶ GOPRO
- ▶ LEICA
- ▶ RUCKUS WIRELESS
- ▶ SIEMENS COMMUNICATIONS
- ▶ SONY
- ▶ SUN PHARMACEUTICAL
- ▶ TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY
- ▶ TELLABS
- ▶ TIME WARNER CABLE
- ▶ VERIZON
- ▶ VIRGIN MOBILE
- ▶ WOCKHARDT
- ▶ WRIGHT MEDICAL



FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

PATRICK D. MCPHERSON

Partner and Head of the Inter Partes Review

202.776.5214

pdmcperson@duanemorris.com

ABOUT THE DUANE MORRIS PTAB TEAM

- ▶ 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent litigation
- ▶ 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent prosecution
- ▶ 40% of team members focus their practice on a blend of patent litigation and patent prosecution

This publication is for general information and does not include full legal analysis of the matters presented. It should not be construed or relied upon as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The invitation to contact the attorneys in our firm is not a solicitation to provide professional services and should not be construed as a statement as to any availability to perform legal services in any jurisdiction in which such attorney is not permitted to practice.