Duane Morris attorneys have extensive trial experience as lead counsel in litigation before state and federal courts, as well as unique trial experience within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), to help clients take advantage of, and respond to, *inter partes* review (IPR).

We advise clients on the merits and desirability of instituting parallel proceedings before the USPTO as well as with regard to defending their patents during these proceedings. Understanding the importance of this area, the firm has established a group focused on the specifics of IPR practice, comprised of attorneys with extensive procedural, technical and litigation experience, as well as oral advocacy skills, required to approach the specialized area with the best possible team. From early strategizing to working with experts, through declarations, depositions and cross examination, to presenting an invalidity case at a hearing in front of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), Duane Morris offers clients experience that is invaluable.

**EXAMPLES OF INDUSTRIES SERVED**

- CHEMICAL
- CONSUMER ELECTRONICS AND PRODUCTS
- EDUCATION
- ENTERTAINMENT, GAMING AND SPORTS
- FASHION
- FINANCIAL SERVICES
- FOOD AND HOSPITALITY
- LIFE SCIENCES, BIOMEDICAL, MEDICAL DEVICES AND PHARMACEUTICAL
- MECHANICAL
- PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
- TECHNOLOGY
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS

**WHY IPR?**

Introduced by the America Invents Act (AIA) in 2012, IPR allows a petitioner to challenge the propriety of a patent claim after it has been granted by the USPTO. This increasingly important strategy—a majority of filings at the USPTO are currently in parallel litigation—is viewed as a less costly and more expeditious method than filing a litigation proceeding in federal district court. Filing for IPR may also provide leverage in settlement negotiations.

**ACCOLADES**

- **PTAB/IPR SUCCESS**
  - Institution Decision Success Rate
    - Industry Average: 74%
    - DUANE MORRIS: 94%
  - Final Written Decision Success Rate
    - Industry Average: 67%
    - DUANE MORRIS: 93%

  *Source: Lex Machina, May 2018*

- **Lex Machina** names Duane Morris as a **TOP 5 DEFENSE FIRM** in patent and ANDA cases.

- Duane Morris has the highest IPR institution percentage of any of the nation’s **TOP 15** most active petitioner law firms. (According to Patexia’s analysis of cases over five years.)

- Duane Morris is ranked the **TOP FIRM** representing petitioners before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), according to Unified Patents’ institutional success index (2018-19).
SELECTED REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS

- ACCORD HEALTHCARE
- AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS
- APOTEX
- ARRIS
- AT&T
- BELKIN
- CARL ZEISS
- CAVIUM
- CISCO
- COMCAST
- COX COMMUNICATIONS
- DR. REDDY’S LABORATORIES
- ELECTRONIC ARTS
- EPSTAR
- EPSILON
- FUJITSU
- GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS
- GOPRO
- LEICA
- MARKER VÖLKL
- NOKIA
- ROLLS-ROYCE PLC
- RUCKUS WIRELESS
- SIEMENS COMMUNICATIONS
- SONY
- SPRINT
- SUN PHARMACEUTICAL
- TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY
- TELLABS
- TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS
- TIME WARNER CABLE
- VERIZON
- VIRGIN MOBILE
- WOCKHARDT
- WRIGHT MEDICAL

ABOUT THE DUANE MORRIS PTAB TEAM

- 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent litigation
- 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent prosecution
- 40% of team members focus their practice on a blend of patent litigation and patent prosecution

OFFICE LOCATIONS AND REACH

UNITED STATES
- Atlanta
- Austin
- Baltimore
- Boca Raton
- Boston
- Cherry Hill
- Chicago
- Houston
- Lake Tahoe
- Las Vegas
- Los Angeles

INTERNATIONAL
- Hanoi
- Ho Chi Minh City
- London
- Myanmar
- Oman
- Shanghai
- Singapore
- Taiwan

> Also satellite offices, including Bangor and Portland, Maine; and Seattle, Washington
> Alliances in Mexico and Sri Lanka
> Leadership position with International network of independent law firms

FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

PATRICK D. McPHERSON
Partner and Head of the Inter Partes Review
P: 202.776.5214
pdmcpherson@duanemorris.com

This publication is for general information and does not include full legal analysis of the matters presented. It should not be construed or relied upon as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The invitation to contact the attorneys in our firm is not a solicitation to provide professional services and should not be construed as a statement as to any availability to perform legal services in any jurisdiction in which such attorney is not permitted to practice.